

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,755	01/05/2004	Jiin-Huey Chern Lin	LINJ3054/EM	1696	
23364 759	90 11/01/2006		EXAMINER		
BACON & TH	k THOMAS, PLLC			ROE, JESSEE RANDALL	
625 SLATERS	LANE				
FOURTH FLOO	OR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA	, VA 22314		1742		
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			વ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/750,755	CHERN LIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jessee Roe	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communicati DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>April</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	• •	is
Disposition of Claims			
4)	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Apprity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	nmary (PTO-413) fail Date mal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/750,755 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Claims Status

1. Claims 1-3, 6-11, 14-17, and 20-24 remain for examination wherein claims 3, 6, 11, and 17 are amended; claims 23 and 24 are new; and claims 4, 5, 12, 13, 18, and 19 are canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 9, 10, 11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Patent 62-199744A (JP'744).
- 4. In regards to claim 9, JP'744 discloses a method of improving the castability of a titanium alloy since it discloses a titanium alloy consisting essentially of 0.05-2 wt% bismuth, and/or niobium, zirconium, hafnium, tantalum, and the balance titanium (See Page 2, claim 1).
- 5. In regards to claim 10, JP'744 discloses a method of adding 0.05-2 wt% bismuth (See Page 2, claim 1).
- 6. In regards to claim 11, JP'744 discloses a method for improving the castability of a titanium alloy consisting of at least one alloy element selected from the group

Art Unit: 1742

consisting of niobium, zirconium, hafnium, tantalum and one or more from the group of nickel and cobalt. 0.05-2% bismuth may be added to the titanium alloy.

7. In regards to claim 14, JP'744 discloses a method for improving the castability of a titanium alloy consisting essentially of titanium and niobium; titanium and zirconium; and titanium, niobium, and zirconium.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-3, 6-8, 15-17, and 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP'744 in view of Prasad (US 5,091,148) with evidence from Connie Daughtry (http://news.ufl.edu/2000/02/08/teeth-spray).
- 10. In regards to claim 1-3, 6-8, 15-17, and 20-22, JP'744 discloses a method for improving the castability of a titanium alloy substantially as claimed in paragraphs 4-7 above. Titanium alloys are expected to be resistant to corrosion within a salt and acidic environment (Pg. 4 of JP'744). The Examiner asserts that acids would corrode teeth and that salts and acids directly contact the teeth via food, soda beverages, and citrus beverages. The article, "UF Dental Researcher Develops Genetically Altered Bacteria Strain That May Fight Cavities For A Lifetime", by Connie Daughtry, gives information

Application/Control Number: 10/750,755

Art Unit: 1742

that in addition to direct contact by acidic food and beverages, bacteria such as

Streptococcus mutans digest sugars to produce acid. This acid would also corrode teeth
as well exposing the teeth to both a direct and indirect source of acid and creating a
reoccurring acidic environment.

Page 4

- 11. JP'744 discloses a titanium alloy that is resistant to corrosion as shown above, but JP'744 does not disclose using a titanium alloy for a medical device such as a dental casting.
- 12. Prasad teaches in the same field of invention (corrosion resistance within an acidic environment) that titanium alloys are desirable for medical devices such as dental castings because titanium alloy dental castings would resist acid corrosion (col. 2, lines 5-22).
- 13. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having information from the article, "UF Dental Researcher Develops Genetically Altered Bacteria Strain That May Fight Cavities For A Lifetime" by Connie Daughtry, at the time the invention was made to mold the titanium alloy, as disclosed by JP'744 to form a titanium alloy medical device such as a dental casting as disclosed by Prasad, in order to prevent acid corrosion of the teeth, as disclosed by Prasad (col. 2, lines 5-22).
- 14. In regards to claims 23 and 24, JP'744 discloses a method substantially as claimed in paragraphs 4-7 above. The Examiner asserts that titanium has natural iron impurities when separated from the ore.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,755 Page 5

Art Unit: 1742

Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments filed April 20, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant uses language such as "consists essentially" which allows for another element to be present in a small amount (Pt in an amount of 0.01-0.12 wt%, for example). Some foods and beverages are acidic (general knowledge). When we consume foods contain sugars, bacteria such as Streptococcus mutans digest the sugar and form a waste product known as lactic acid (resource available to one of ordinary skill in the art).

Conclusion

16. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.

See MPEP §706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,755

Art Unit: 1742

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jessee Roe whose telephone number is (571) 272-

5938. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 AM - 4:30 PM.

18. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

19. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JR

ROY KING ' SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

1

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700